

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/977,578	10/15/2001	Seppo Hamalainen	944-3-112/19367	1988	
4955	7590 03/08/2006		EXAMINER		
WARE FR	ESSOLA VAN DER SLU	NGUYEN, PHUONGCHAU BA			
ADOLPHS(BRADFORI	ON, LLP O GREEN BUILDING 5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	STREET, P O BOX 224		2665		
MONROE,	NROE, CT 06468 DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/977,578	HAMALAINEN ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Phuongchau Ba Nguyen	2616			

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 15 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ... A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🛛 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🖾 will not be entered, or b) 🗌 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 3-5,10,13-15 and 20-33. Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,6-9,11,12 and 16-19</u>. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12.	\sqcup	Note the attached	Information Dis	sclosure Staten	nent(s). (PTO/SE	3/08 or PTO-144	9) Paper No(s)	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

a) Applicant argued that the combination of the admitted prior art and Vukovic does not teach or suggest "to adjust the power level of the data transmission in a compression mode of operation in the user equipment to a correct power level before a subsequent data transmission is sent".

-In reply, applicant is directed to specification, page 2, line 6 to page 3, line 15 wherein the admitted prior art discloses the compressed frames transmission between mobile and base station. Vukovic (US2002/019012) discloses in 0023 on page 3 wherein MS 302 transmitted a series of access request having the different power level in each access request to base station 306. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply power adjusting of Vukovic's teaching into the admitted prior art with the motivation being to control the transmission power level of compressed messages/frames between mobile and base stations.

-In response to applicant's argument that the whole thrust of the application claim is "to adjust the power level of the data transmission in a compression mode of operation in the user equipment to a correct power level before a subsequent data transmission is sent", a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. It is noticed that the admitted prior desired adjusting the power level for subsequent compressed frames/messages. And, the intended use of adjusting the power level of subsequent messages in Vukovic's teaching would meet the claim.

Phuongchau Ba Nguyen

3-3-6

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Lueluter 03_06-06